Biology and Medicine

Pais-Chanfrau and Trujillo-Toledo, Biol Med (Aligarh) 2016, 8:3 http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/0974-8369.1000279

Research Article Open Access

Optimization of Culture Medium for Large-Scale Production of Heterologous Proteins in *Pichia pastoris* to be used in Nanoscience and other Biotechnological Fields

Pais-Chanfrau JM1* and Trujillo-Toledo LE2

¹Facultad de Ingeniería en Ciencias Agropecuarias y Ambientales, Universidad Tecnica del Norte, Av 17 de Julio 5-21, Ibarra, Imbabura, Ecuador

²Industrial Biotechnology and Bioproducts Research Group, CENCINAT, Universidad de las Fuerzas Armadas (ESPE), Ecuador

*Corresponding author: Pais-Chanfrau JM, Facultad de Ingeniería en Ciencias Agropecuarias y Ambientales, Universidad Tecnica del Norte, Av 17 de Julio 5-21, Ibarra, Imbabura, Ecuador, Tel: +593 6-299-7800; E-mail: jmpais@utn.edu.ec

Received date: March 08, 2016; Accepted date: March 31, 2016; Published date: April 04, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Pais-Chanfrau JM, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

The methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris* became an excellent and successfully expression system for therapeutics and other useful heterologous proteins at large-scale. Its capacity to reach a high-cell-density-concentration during its fed-batch fermentations in a chemically-defined culture medium is one of the main features of this system. The standard culture medium BSM developed by Invitrogen Co. has been the most widespread used. However, it contains certain components in improper ratios that lead to precipitation of some of its components during preparation, mainly after sterilization and/or during pH adjustment. In this study, we propose a modified version of the BSM culture medium, named MBSM. These simple modifications by employing a linear optimization technique solves the precipitation problems observed on BSM and other culture media. Beside it, is rather simple, easy to prepare, and inexpensive, and it has impact positively over the environment by reducing the pollution load of the fermentation waste and also diminish the cost of the industrial scale fermentation process. By using this modified medium, were reached cell densities of 100 gDCW·L⁻¹ and 60 gDCW·L⁻¹ for mini-proinsulin and exo-levanase under the inducible AOX1 and the constitutive GAPDH promoters, respectively. These results allow to get vast amounts of proteins able to be used in nanoscience and other biotechnological applications.

Keywords: Chemical defined medium; *Pichia pastoris*; Heterologous protein expression system

Introduction

The methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris* has become over the years in one of the most used host organisms to produce therapeutic and industrial recombinant proteins. This success story is due to its GRAS (General Regarded as Safe) status and the ability to grow at high cell density in inexpensive chemical-defined media. Also, this yeast allow efficient expression of foreign genes using inducible and constitutive promotors in both ways, secreted to the culture media or intracellularly, producing the corresponding well folded proteins at high yield even with the human-like glycosylated pattern [1,2].

The culture medium is a key player when high cell density of any microorganism is desired. Additionally, its properties and design result of great influence in the efficiency of the production process at industrial scale so, becomes in an important component in terms of economic efficiency of the whole process. Although the capacity of *P. pastoris* to reach high cell concentrations with chemical-defined media, literature postulates that together with the optimum composition of the culture medium also, the chosen strain and the particularities of the foreign protein to be expressed must be considered to achieve the best results [3-5].

It is strongly recommended the use of the basal salt medium BSM developed by Invitrogen Co. (Carlsbad, CA, USA) to start protein expression studies using *P. pastoris* as host [6], which has been

extensively and successfully tested for the expression of hundreds of different heterologous proteins [7].

Despite the success accumulated by BSM use, this media presents some problems easily observed during its preparation and sterilizing procedures by the presence of a white-turbidity formation before inoculation, especially where pH ≥ 5 [8]. This turbidity is ascribed to the low solubility of the polyvalent cations calcium (Ca²+) and magnesium (Mg²+) present in the supplement PTM1, in the presence of ortho-phosphate anions (HPO4²-) [9]. Despite that this precipitation matter tends to disappear during the cultivation time, clearly leads to certain nutrient imbalance problems, especially if the medium pH increases above pH 5 [8].

The aim of this work was to find a chemically defined culture medium, simple, easy to prepare and inexpensive, to serve for the production of heterologous proteins in *P. pastoris* at industrial scale that avoids the formation of precipitates during the preparation and sterilization of culture medium so, reaching the exact nutrient availability during the first fermentations stages. This media will guarantee both, high cell densities an adequate expression levels of the studied proteins for further nano-applications in therapeutics and industrial approaches.

Materials and Methods

Originally, the Invitrogen's BSM was developed from Wegner research [10] using continuous culture and analyzing the ranges for each essential chemical element required to grow *P. pastoris* to high

cell densities. Atomic composition for main BSM nutrient elements was determined as shown below on Table 1. Other chemical-defined alternative media to achieve high cell densities in cultures of *P. pastoris* are showed in the same Table 1 [5,11-13].

For this culture optimization studies, the culture medium cost was used as the objective function and as the constraint equations regarding the minimum and maximum ranges reported by Wegner [10] for each culture medium components. Also, the solubility of each component was used to find a model that could be optimized to find out the minimal composition of the culture medium that minimized both, its elaboration cost and also, eliminate the turbidity caused by nutrient imbalances and precipitations of certain media components after sterilization. For this purpose, the Solver tool of Excel (Microsoft Co., Redmond, Washington, USA), which uses an optimization algorithm Simplex LP was used.

Results

When comparing suggested Wagner's ranges in the BSM media and those reported by D'Anjou and Daugulis [12], and FM22 described by Stratton and co-workers [13], it appears certain deficits or surpluses for some atoms-elements of the BSM and FM22 media respect to the Wagner's recommended range, specially respect to phosphorous and potassium concentrations. Only the medium suggested by D'Anjou and Daugulis [12] fulfill all of requirements suggested by Wagner [10].

In the present work the function corresponding to the culture medium cost $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \times K_i\right)$ was minimized so, two additional restriction functions appears. The first, deals with the minimum concentration of each culture media components within the range studied by Werner [10]; and the second involve the concentration below the limit of aqueous solubility of the component in the aqueous medium (approximately below 85% of this value). The mathematical expression then is (Equations 1-3):

$$\begin{aligned} &\min_{c_i \subset s} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \times K_i \, S := \left\{ S_1, S_2 \right\} \\ &S_1 : c_{i_{\min}} \leq c_i \leq c_{i_{\max}} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$S_2 : c_i < 0.85 \times Sol_i \tag{3}$$

where:

c_i: concentration of component i in the culture medium, g•L-1

 c_i , c_i : Minimal and maximum concentration of the component i, according to the values reported by Wegner [10], g•L-1

 K_i : unit price of component i in the culture medium, US\$•g-1

 Sol_i : solubility of the component i in the water, g•L-1

The solution of this optimization problem of course depends on the updated prize of the component i in the culture medium and its solubility. The unit price of the needed components in the culture medium depend on the supplier, the component quality and quantity. As noted elsewhere [5] in general, the BSM medium provides high concentrations of basic elements, whilst the D'Anjou and Daugulis [12] has a low concentration of chemical elements with respect of the Wegner's reported range [10].

Respect to nitrogen source, in BSM, FM22, and MBSM, this element is added as ammonium hydroxide when controlling pH. Contrary, in the D'Anjou and Daugulis [12] culture medium all nitrogen source is supplied at the initial formulation and is not added during the culture.

The composition of each BSM atom-element [6], FM22 [13], of the D'Anjou and Daugulis [12], and MBSM proposed here is shown in Table 1.

Atom	Wegner [10]	BSM [6]	FM22 [13]	D'Anjou and Daugulis [12]	MBSM (This work)				
Macro El	Macro Elements [g·L ⁻¹]								
N	-	NH₄OH (as pH control)	1.06 + NH ₄ OH (as pH control)	4.24	NH₄OH (as pH control)				
Р	2.2 – 10.0	7.17	0.98	2.73	2.27				
К	1.5 – 10.0	11.04	1.23	3.44	2.87				
Mg	0.3 – 1.2	1.47	0.31	0.46	0.31				
Са	0.08 – 0.8	0.27	0.07	0.1	0.1				
S	0.2 – 5.0	5.56	0.46	0.66	0.46				
Trace Ele	Trace Elements [mg·L ⁻¹]								
Fe	9.0 – 80.0	56.83	65.05	65.05	56.14				
Zn	3.0 – 40.0	41.73	22.66	22.66	19.55				
Cu	1.0 – 10.0	6.64	7.61	7.61	6.57				
Mn	0.9 – 8.0	4.24	4.87	4.87	4.19				
Na	-	0.22	0.95	0.95	0.82				
ı	-	0.29	1.61	1.61	1.38				
Мо	-	0.35	1.98	1.98	1.71				
В	-	0.015	0.09	0.09	0.08				
Со	-	0.99	-	-	-				
CI	-	46.45	173.29	173.29	168.79				
pH Control	-	NH ₄ OH & H ₃ PO ₄	NH ₄ OH & H ₃ PO ₄	KOH & H ₃ PO ₄	NH ₄ OH & H ₃ PO ₄				

Table 1: Comparative atomic composition for main elements of different culture media for *Pichia pastoris*.

Starting from the simplest culture medium reported by D'Anjou and Daugulis [12], the modified basal saline medium (MBSB) proposed here was designed. For this purpose, it was established two conditions:

Citation: Pais-Chanfrau JM, Trujillo-Toledo LE (2016) Optimization of Culture Medium for Large-Scale Production of Heterologous Proteins in Pichia pastoris to be used in Nanoscience and other Biotechnological Fields. Biol Med (Aligarh) 8: 279. doi: 10.4172/0974-8369.1000279

Page 3 of 4

1- that all the elements of the designed medium, always fix the proposed by Wegner's range [10] and 2- the use of the medium cost as objective function. Then, through the optimizing on Excel sheet by using a Simplex LP algorithm in the Solve tool of Excel, the composition of the new cheaper and simplest MBSM medium, has been obtained (Table 2).

Trace Solution - 4.3 mL Vitamins Solution 2.5 mL 2.5 mL Trace Elements Trace Soln. (for 1 L) FeSO₄·7H₂O - 65 g FeCl₃·6H₂O 44.5 μΜ - CuSO₄·5H₂O 0.2 μΜ - ZnSO₄·7H₂O 17.5 μΜ 20 g MnSO₄·H₂O 4.5 μΜ 3 g KI 1.25 μΜ 0.42 g H₃BO₃ 0.75 μΜ 0.1 g Na₂MoO₄· 2H₂O 2 μΜ 1 g H₂SO₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g	Compound (for 1 L)	D'Anjou an	d Daugulis [12]	MBSM (This work)	
KH ₂ PO ₄ 12 g 10 g MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 4.7 g 3.2 g CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O 0.36 g 0.35 g Trace Solution - 4.3 mL Vitamins Solution 2.5 mL 2.5 mL Trace Elements Trace Soln. (for 1 L) FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O - 65 g FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O 44.5 μM - CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O - 6 g CaSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O 0.2 μM - ZnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 17.5 μM 20 g MnSO ₄ ·H ₂ O 4.5 μM 3 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H ₃ BO ₃ 0.75 μM 0.1 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	C ₃ H ₈ O (glycerol) 50 g			50 g	
MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 4.7 g 3.2 g CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O 0.36 g 0.35 g Trace Solution - 4.3 mL Vitamins Solution 2.5 mL 2.5 mL Trace Elements Trace Soln. (for 1 L) FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O - 65 g FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O - 6 g CaSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O - 6 g CaSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O - 20 g MnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 17.5 μM 20 g MnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 4.5 μM 3 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H ₃ BO ₃ 0.75 μM 0.1 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	(NH ₄)2SO ₄	20 g		-	
CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O 0.36 g 0.35 g Trace Solution - 4.3 mL Vitamins Solution 2.5 mL 2.5 mL Trace Elements Trace Soln. (for 1 L) FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O - 65 g FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O 44.5 μM - CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O 0.2 μM - ZnSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O 17.5 μM 20 g MnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 4.5 μM 3 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H ₃ BO ₃ 0.75 μM 0.1 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	KH ₂ PO ₄	12 g		10 g	
Trace Solution - 4.3 mL Vitamins Solution 2.5 mL 2.5 mL Trace Elements Trace Soln. (for 1 L) FeSO₄·7H₂O - 65 g FeCl₃·6H₂O 44.5 μΜ - CuSO₄·5H₂O 0.2 μΜ - ZnSO₄·7H₂O 17.5 μΜ 20 g MnSO₄·H₂O 4.5 μΜ 3 g KI 1.25 μΜ 0.42 g H₃BO₃ 0.75 μΜ 0.1 g Na₂MoO₄· 2H₂O 2 μΜ 1 g H₂SO₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	4.7 g		3.2 g	
Vitamins Solution 2.5 mL 2.5 mL Trace Elements Trace Soln. (for 1 L) FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O - 65 g FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O 44.5 μM - CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O 0.2 μM - ZnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 17.5 μM 20 g MnSO ₄ ·H ₂ O 4.5 μM 3 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H ₃ BO ₃ 0.75 μM 0.1 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O	0.36 g		0.35 g	
Trace Elements Trace Soln. (for 1 L) FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O - 65 g FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O 44.5 μM - CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O - 6 g CaSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O 0.2 μM - 20 g MnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 17.5 μM 20 g MnSO ₄ ·H ₂ O 4.5 μM 0.42 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g	Trace Solution	-		4.3 mL	
FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O - 65 g FeCI ₃ ·6H ₂ O 44.5 μM - CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O - 6 g CaSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O 0.2 μM - ZnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 17.5 μM 20 g MnSO ₄ ·H ₂ O 4.5 μM 3 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H ₃ BO ₃ 0.75 μM 0.1 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	Vitamins Solution	2.5 mL		2.5 mL	
FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O	Trace Elements			Trace Soln. (for 1 L)	
CuSO4·5H2O - 6 g CaSO4·5H2O 0.2 μM - ZnSO4·7H2O 17.5 μM 20 g MnSO4·H2O 4.5 μM 3 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H ₃ BO3 0.75 μM 0.1 g Na2MoO4· 2H2O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO4 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	-		65 g	
CaSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O 0.2 μM - ZnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 17.5 μM 20 g MnSO ₄ ·H ₂ O 4.5 μM 3 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H ₃ BO ₃ 0.75 μM 0.1 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O	44.5 µM		-	
$ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ 17.5 μM 20 g $MnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ 4.5 μM 3 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H_3BO_3 0.75 μM 0.1 g $Na_2MoO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ 2 μM 1 g $Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L)$ Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo -inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g $Pyridoxine hydrochloride$ 0.8 g $Nicotinic acid$ 0.2 g $D(+)$ - biotine 0.8 g	CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O -			6 g	
MnSO ₄ ·H ₂ O 4.5 μM 3 g KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H ₃ BO ₃ 0.75 μM 0.1 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	CaSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O 0.2 μM			-	
KI 1.25 μM 0.42 g H_3BO_3 0.75 μM 0.1 g $Na_2MoO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ 2 μM 1 g H_2SO_4 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g $D(+)$ - biotine 0.8 g	ZnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	ZnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O 17.5 μM		20 g	
H_3BO_3 0.75 μM 0.1 g $Na_2MoO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ 2 μM 1 g H_2SO_4 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g $D(+)$ - biotine 0.8 g	MnSO ₄ ·H ₂ O	4.5 µM		3 g	
Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM 1 g H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	KI	1.25 µM		0.42 g	
H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v) - 10 mL Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	H ₃ BO ₃ 0.75 μM			0.1 g	
Vitamins Soln. (for 1 L) Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	Na ₂ MoO ₄ · 2H ₂ O 2 μM			1 g	
Calcium panthotenate 0.8 g myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	H ₂ SO ₄ 98% (v/v)	-		10 mL	
myo-inositol 8.0 g Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g		Vitamins	Soln. (for 1 L)		
Thiamine dichloryde 0.8 g Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	Calcium panthotenate		0.8 g		
Pyridoxine hydrochloride 0.8 g Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	myo-inositol		8.0 g		
Nicotinic acid 0.2 g D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	Thiamine dichloryde		0.8 g		
D(+)- biotine 0.8 g	Pyridoxine hydrochloride	е	0.8 g		
· ·	Nicotinic acid		0.2 g		
K₂HPO₄ 4.0 g	D(+)- biotine		0.8 g		
	K ₂ HPO ₄		4.0 g		

Table 2: Comparative composition of the D'Anjou and Daugulis [12] culture medium, and proposed MBSM.

The MBSM has been successfully employed in the expression of several recombinant proteins in P. pastoris at laboratory and pilotscale, using both, inducible AOX1, as well as, the constitutive pGAP expression promoters. A summary of these practical applications of MBSM medium is shown in Table 3.

The results of growth of P. pastoris and expression of several heterologous proteins by using the MBSM proposed here (Table 3) are similar or higher to those reported by other authors including the popular BSM culture media [7].

Recombinant Protein	Promoter	Expression level / Biomass concentration	Reference	
Mini-proinsulin, MPI	AOX1	0.3 g·L ⁻¹ / 100 g DCW·L ⁻¹ at 160 h	[14,15]	
Levansucrase (LsdA)	GAPDH	0.2 g·L ⁻¹ (4000 EAU·L ⁻¹) / 90 g DCW·L ⁻¹ at 39 h	[16,17]	
Exolevanase	AOX1	21.1 EAU·L ⁻¹ / 115 g DCW·L ⁻¹ at 96 h		
(LsdB)	GAPDH	26.6 EAU·L ⁻¹ / 60 g DCW·L ⁻¹ at 39 h	[18,19]	

Table 3: Some proteins expressed under inducible and constitutive promoters in P. pastoris by using MBSM.

Discussion

By far, the most common medium for high cell density fermentation of methylotrophic yeast Pichia pastoris is the basalt salt medium BSM proposed by Invitrogen Co. However, as reported by other researches [5,9], some problems during its preparation and sterilization arise due to component imbalances.

The BSM is commonly used at the initial studies of expression of heterologous proteins in *P. pastors*, for further high scale fermentation it should be adjusted and improved, according to the requirements of the production process.

The proposed MBSM offers some advantages over its BSM counterpart because not only solves the imbalance problems of some atom-elements of the culture media previously reported [5,9], but also it is less expensive (accounting near \$2 per liter, depending, of course, on supplier) and it is easy to prepare (it is not necessary a defined order to add the components nor adjust pH before to finish the addition of components of the culture medium) compared to BSM and other existing culture media used for P. pastoris, at large-scale protein production purposes. Besides, MBSM as shown in Table 3, can be useful for both *P. pastoris* developed promoters and scales, lab research studies and also suitable for large-scale production of recombinant proteins in biopharmaceutical, food, nanoscience, and chemical industries.

Additionally, due to its simplest chemical composition (the proposed culture medium required in summary 27% less mass of chemical components than the previously simplest culture medium), the further pollution load of the fermentation wastes on ecosystems could be reduced by using the MBSM at large-scale production of heterologous proteins in Pichia pastoris.

References

- Fickers P (2014) Pichia pastoris: a workhorse for recombinant protein production. Curr Res Microbiol Biotechnol 2: 354-363.
- Rabert C, Weinacker D, Pessoa Jr A, Farias JG (2013) Recombinants proteins for industrial uses: utilization of Pichia pastoris expression system. Braz J Microbiol 44: 351-356.

Citation:

Pais-Chanfrau JM, Trujillo-Toledo LE (2016) Optimization of Culture Medium for Large-Scale Production of Heterologous Proteins in *Pichia pastoris* to be used in Nanoscience and other Biotechnological Fields. Biol Med (Aligarh) 8: 279. doi: 10.4172/0974-8369.1000279

Page 4 of 4

- Files D, Ogawa M, Scaman CH, Baldwin SA (2001) A *Pichia pastoris* fermentation process for producing high-levels of recombinant human cystatin-C. Enz Microb Technol 29: 335-340.
- Sinha J, Plantz BA, Zhang W, Gouthro M, Schlegel V, et al. (2003) Improved production of recombinant ovine interferon-tau by mut(+) strain of *Pichia pastoris* using an optimized methanol feed profile. Biotechnol Prog 19: 794-802.
- Cos O, Ramon R, Montesinos JL, Valero F (2006) Operational strategies, monitoring and control of heterologous protein production in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris* under different promoters: A review. Microb Cell Fact 5: 17-37.
- Pichia Fermentation Process Guidelines (2002) Invitrogen Life Technologies, USA, pp. 1-11.
- Cereghino JL, Cregg JM (2000) Heterologous protein expression in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris*. FEMS Microbiol Rev 24: 45-66.
- Zhang W, Inan M, Meagher MM (2000) Fermentation strategies for recombinant protein expression in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia* pastoris. Biotechnol Bioproc Eng 5: 275-287.
- Curless C, Baclaski J, Sachdev R (1996) Phosphate glass as a phosphate source in high cell density Escherichia coli fermentations. Biotechnol Prog 12: 22-25.
- Wegner GH (1983) Biochemical conversions by yeast fermentation at high cell densities. Patent US4414329.
- D'Anjou M, Daugulis AJ (1997) A model-based feeding strategy for feedbatch fermentation of recombinant *Pichia pastoris*. Biotechnol Tech 11: 865-868.
- D'Anjou M, Daugulis AJ (2000) Mixed-feed exponential feeding for fedbatch culture of recombinant methylotrophic yeast. Biotechnol Lett 22: 341-346.

- Stratton J, Chiruvolu V, Meagher M (1998) High cell-density fermentation. In: Higgins DR, Cregg JM (eds.) Methods in molecular biology: Pichia protocols. Humana, Totowa, New York, USA, pp. 107-120.
- Pais-Chanfrau JM, Garcia Y, Licor L, Besada V, Castellanos-Serra L, et al. (2004) Improving the expression of mini-proinsulin in *Pichia pastoris*. Biotechnol Lett 26: 1269-1272.
- Mansur M, Cabello C, Hernandez L, Pais J, Varas L, et al. (2005) Multiple gene copy number enhances insulin precursor secretion in the yeast *Pichia pastoris*. Biotechnol Lett 27: 339-345.
- 16. Trujillo LE, Banguela A, Pais JM, Tambara Y, Arrieta JG, et al. (2002) Constitutive expression of enzymatically active Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus levansucrase in the methylothrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris*. Afinidad 59: 365-370.
- 17. Trujillo LE, Banguela A, Pais JM, Tambara Y, Sotolongo M, et al. (2003) Expression of enzymatically active Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus levansucrase in *Pichia pastoris* under the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase promoter. Biotecnol Aplicada 20: 192-200.
- Menendez C, Hernandez L, Banguela A, Pais JM (2004) Functional production and secretion of the Gluconoacetobacter diazotrophicus fructose-releasing exo-levanase (LsdB) in *Pichia pastoris*. Enz Microbial Technol 34: 446-452.
- Menendez C, Hernandez L, Pais JM, Banguela A, Ramirez R, et al. (2005) Identification and recombinant expression of a bacterial exolevanase useful for the production of high fructose syrups. Biotecnol Aplicada 22: 68-72.

This article was originally published in a special issue, entitled: "Recent Advances in Biology & Nanotechnology", Edited by Kaiser Jamil